



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/893,160	06/27/2001	Gerald Friese	00 P 7563 US 01 (8055-83	4594
7590 03/24/2004			EXAMINER	
Frank Chau			SONG, MATTHEW J	
F. Chau & Associates, LLP 1900 Hempstead Turnpike Suite 501 East Meadow, NY 11554			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1765	

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		19			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/893,160	FRIESE, GERALD			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
j	Matthew J Song	1765			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ju	ly 2003.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims		•			
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer of the correction of the correction of the original transfer of the correction of the correctio	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 13. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 1765

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under Ex Parte Quayle, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/24/2003 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yoshioka (US 5,357,136).

Yoshioka discloses a metal layer 15 formed of a refractory metal (col 4, ln 45-50), an interconnect 19 formed through a dielectric layer 14 connecting to the metal layer, a bond pad 30 having first portion disposed over the metal layer and the interconnect and a second portion

Art Unit: 1765

disposed over the dielectric (Fig 2e); the first portion including a bond area for providing an attachment point for a connection (col 5, ln 20-25).

Yoshioka is silent to the second portion including a probe area for providing contact with a probe. The bond pad area can also function as the probe area, as evidence by applicant disclosure on page 3 of the instant specification; therefore the second portion of the bond pad inherently includes a probe area.

Referring to claim 3, Yoshioka discloses the interconnection layer 19 is formed of an aluminum alloy, which extends over the bonding pad and defines a binding pad 30, this reads on applicant's bond pad is made of aluminum.

Referring to claim 4, Yoshioka discloses a barrier metal layer 18 fills the opening and makes direct bonding contact with the exposed portions of the conductive layer 15 and an interconnection layer is provided onto the barrier metal layer (col 4, ln 1-25). Yoshioka is silent to the barrier metal prevents diffusion between the interconnect and metal, however this is inherent to Yoshioka because Yoshioka teaches similar materials of the interconnect, metal layer and barrier metal, as applicant.

Referring to claims 6 and 8, Yoshioka discloses an exterior insulating layer for protecting the integrated circuit from the outside atmosphere and mechanical damage is applied, this reads on applicant's passivating layer, and openings are provided in the protection layer at the bonding pad regions to expose the interconnection layer so that leads may be affixed, this reads on applicant's an opening shared by the bond area and the probe area because the bond area can inherently be used as a probe area, note page 3 of the instant specification.

Art Unit: 1765

4. Claims 1 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kim et al (US 6,159,826).

Kim et al discloses a conductive, metal line 52a of an internal circuit (col 2, ln 5-10 and col 3, ln 35-36), this reads on applicant's metal layer. Kim et al also discloses a conductive region 55 fills a via hole formed in a first insulating layer 53, where the first insulating layer reads on applicant's dielectric and the conductive region reads on applicant's interconnect. Kim et al also discloses a bond pad 56 having a first portion 56a disposed over the metal layer and the interconnect, and a second portion 56b disposed over the dielectric layer (col 3, ln 45-55 and Fig 5). Kim et al also discloses a first portion including a bond pad 36 for providing an attachment point for a connection (col 1, ln 20-35) and a second portion including a probing pad 38 for contact with a probe 60 (col 3, ln 45-67). Kim et al also discloses a second insulating layer 57, this reads on applicant's passivation layer, from on the first insulating layer 53 and the first portion 56a and the second portion 56b are exposed out the second insulating layer, this reads on applicant's passivation layer includes a first opening and a second opening.

Referring to claim 8, Kim et al discloses a separate probe area and bond area. However, the bond area can inherently be used as a probe area, note page 3 of the instant specification; therefore the bond area opening inherently includes a probe area.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 1765

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 10, 12, 15, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al (US 6,159,826) in view of Yoshioka (US 5,357,136).

Kim et al discloses all of the limitations of claim 4, as discussed previously, except a barrier layer disposed between the interconnect and the metal layer to prevent diffusion therebetween.

In a method of making a semiconductor device with a bond pad region, note entire reference, Yoshioka teaches a metal layer 15 formed of a refractory metal (col 4, ln 45-50), an interconnect 19 formed through a oxide insulator, which may contain phosphorus or boron 14 connecting to the metal layer (col 4, ln 55-60), and a bond pad 30 (col 4, ln 15-25 and col 5, ln 20-25). Yoshioka also teaches the barrier metal layer may consist of TiN, TiW, or W (col 4, ln 1-10). Yoshioka also teaches a barrier metal layer serves to prevent solid phase epitaxy in the opening of an integrated circuit device employing a conductive pattern (col 1, ln 30-40). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kim et al by employing the barrier metal layer taught by Yoshioka to prevent solid phase epitaxy ('136 col 1, ln 30-40).

7. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al (US 6,159,826) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Cheung et al (US 5,785,236) or Zawaideh (US 5,877,557).

Art Unit: 1765

Kim et al teaches all of the limitations of claim 1, as discussed previously, except the bond pad include aluminum.

In a method of wire bonding for integrated circuits, note entire reference, Cheung et al teaches a metal interconnect formed over an integrated circuit structure, forming an aluminum pad over the metal interconnect and bonding a metal wire to the aluminum pad (col 2,ln 50 to col 3, ln 5). Cheung et al also teaches a layer of conventional aluminum is patterned to form at least one aluminum pad (col 3, ln 40-55). Cheung et al also teaches an insulating layer or passivating layer is formed on the aluminum pad and patterned to expose the surface of the pad (col 3, ln 56-67). Cheung et al also teaches wire bonding to the pad (col 4, ln 10-25). Cheung et al also teaches bonding pad openings are formed in a passivation layer (col 3, ln 60-67). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kim et al by using the aluminum pad taught by Cheung et al because the selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use is held to be obvious (MPEP 2144.07).

In a method of making a semiconductor device, note entire reference, Zawaideh teaches aluminum is often used as a conductive metal in semiconductor devices (col 1, ln 10-15) and aluminum is used in integrated circuits to form aluminum plugs, aluminum interconnects, aluminum bonding pads and other such structures (col 2, ln 15-20). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kim et al by using the aluminum pad taught by Zawaideh because the selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use is held to be obvious (MPEP 2144.07).

Art Unit: 1765

8. Claims 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al (US 6,159,826) or Yoshioka (US 5,357,136) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Wood et al (US 6,107,122).

Kim et al or Yoshioka teach all of the limitations of claim 5, as discussed previously, except the bond pad has a thickness of less than 2 microns.

In a method of making a semiconductor device, note entire reference, Wood et al teaches typical aluminum bond pads having a thickness of from 1.0 to 1.5 µm (col 5, ln 25-26). Wood et al also teaches electrodes can comprise thin film aluminum bond pads in electrical communication with integrated circuits (col 5, ln 15-30). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kim et al or Yoshioka by using the aluminum pad with a thickness of 1.0 to 1.5 µm taught by Wood et al because the thickness is conventionally used in the art for bonding pads.

Referring to claim 5, the combination of Kim et al and Wood et al or the combination of Yoshioka and Wood et al teaches a bond pad thickness of 1.0-1.5 µm. Overlapping ranges are held to be obvious (MPEP 2144.05).

9. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al (US 6,159,826) or Yoshioka (US 5,357,136) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Admission (Applicant's admitted prior art).

Kim et al or Yoshioka teach all of the limitations of claim 2, as discussed previously, except the metal layer includes copper.

Art Unit: 1765

In applicant's admitted prior art, Admission teaches copper metallizations are employed due to their high conductivities and aluminum is used as cap. Admission also teaches copper metallization for metal lines and an aluminum bond pad. Admission also teaches a diffusion barrier, which may include Ta or TaN is deposited between the copper and aluminum to prevent diffusion therebetween (page 1-3 of the instant specification).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kim et al or Yoshioka by using a copper metal layer and an aluminum bond pad because the selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended used is held to be obvious (MPEP 2144.07).

Referring to claim 4, Kim et al does not teach a diffusion barrier. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kim et al with Admission diffusion barrier to prevent diffusion between copper and aluminum, which is detrimental to resistivity (page 2 of Admission).

10. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al (US 6,159,826) or Yoshioka (US 5,357,136) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Cain (US 5,656,945).

Kim et al or Yoshioka teach all of the limitations of claim 9, as discussed previously, except the bond pad is permanently connected to a bond wire.

In a method of testing electrical device, Cain teaches mounting a die within a package typically involves attaching a die with a socket formed in the package and permanently attaching package leads to the wire bond pads, by wire bonding, lead bonding or soldering, this reads on

Art Unit: 1765

applicant's bond pad is permanently connected to a bond wire (col 2, ln 1-25). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kim et al or Yoshioka by permanently connecting a package lead to a bond pad to form a package, which can be tested (col 1, ln 45-67).

11. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al (US 6,159,826) in view of Yoshioka (US 5,357,136) as applied to claim 10 above, and further in view of Wood et al (US 6,107,122).

The combination of Kim et al and Yoshioka teaches all of the limitations of claim 14, as discussed previously, except the bond pad with a thickness of less than about 2 microns.

In a method of making a semiconductor device, note entire reference, Wood et al teaches typical aluminum bond pads having a thickness of from 1.0 to 1.5 µm (col 5, ln 25-26). Wood et al also teaches electrodes can comprise thin film aluminum bond pads in electrical communication with integrated circuits (col 5, ln 15-30). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Kim et al and Yoshioka by using the aluminum pad with a thickness of 1.0 to 1.5 µm taught by Wood et al because the thickness is conventionally used in the art for bonding pads.

Referring to claim 5, the combination of Kim et al, Yoshioka and Wood et al teaches a bond pad thickness of 1.0-1.5 µm. Overlapping ranges are held to be obvious (MPEP 2144.05).

Art Unit: 1765

12. Claims 11-13, 18-19, and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al (US 6,159,826) in view of Yoshioka (US 5,357,136) as applied to claim 10 above, and further in view of Admission (Applicant's admitted prior art).

The combination of Kim et al and Yoshioka teaches all of the limitations of claim 11, as discussed previously, except the metal layer includes copper.

In applicant's admitted prior art, Admission teaches copper metallizations are employed due to their high conductivities and aluminum is used as cap. Admission also teaches copper metallization for metal lines and an aluminum bond pad. Admission also teaches a diffusion barrier, which may include Ta or TaN is deposited between the copper and aluminum to prevent diffusion therebetween (page 1-3 of the instant specification).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kim et al or Yoshioka by using a copper metal layer and an aluminum bond pad because the selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended used is held to be obvious (MPEP 2144.07).

Referring to claims 13 and 19, the combination of Kim et al and Yoshioka teaches using a barrier layer. The combination of Kim et al and Yoshioka does not teach a diffusion barrier includes Ta or Tan. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kim et al with Admission diffusion barrier of Ta or TaN to prevent diffusion between copper and aluminum, which is detrimental to resistivity (page 2 of Admission).

Referring to claim 18, the combination of Kim et al, Yoshioka and Admission teaches a copper metal line (Admission pg 3 and '826 col 2, ln 5-10), an insulating layer 53 formed over

Art Unit: 1765

the circuit 52, this reads on applicant's dielectric, a diffusion barrier (Admission page 2 and '136 col 3, ln 65 to col 4, ln 15), an aluminum interconnection which defines a binding pad over openings and the conductive layer ('4, ln 15-25), first area and a second area ('136 Fig 1 and '826 Fig 5).

Referring to claim 21, the combination of Kim et al, Yoshioka and Admission teaches a second insulation layer 57 ('826 col 3, ln 45-55) and a protection layer 20 ('136 col 5, ln 10-25), which reads on applicant's passivation layer.

13. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al (US 6,159,826) in view of Yoshioka (US 5,357,136) and Admission (Applicant's admitted prior art), as applied to claim 18 above, and further in view of Wood et al (US 6,107,122).

The combination of Kim et al, Yoshioka and Admission teaches all of the limitations of claim 20, as discussed previously, except the bond pad with a thickness of less than about 2 microns.

In a method of making a semiconductor device, note entire reference, Wood et al teaches typical aluminum bond pads having a thickness of from 1.0 to 1.5 µm (col 5, ln 25-26). Wood et al also teaches electrodes can comprise thin film aluminum bond pads in electrical communication with integrated circuits (col 5, ln 15-30). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Kim et al, Yoshioka and Admission by using the aluminum pad with a thickness of 1.0 to 1.5 µm taught by Wood et al because the thickness is conventionally used in the art.

Art Unit: 1765

Referring to claim 5, the combination of Kim et al, Yoshioka, Admission and Wood et al teaches a bond pad thickness of $1.0\text{-}1.5~\mu m$. Overlapping ranges are held to be obvious (MPEP 2144.05).

Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Besser et al (US 6,239,494) teaches a diffusion barrier comprising Ti, Ta, W, an alloy thereof or a nitride (col 4, ln 5-25) and an inter-dielectric layer comprises an oxide or a nitride (col 4, ln 60-67).

Chevallier (US 6,140,665) teaches a bonding mask and a probe make are separate (col 5, ln 45-600.

Sugasawara (US 5,936,876) teaches forming openings in a passivation layer to expose a probe pad (col 7, ln 1-25) and the probe pad is not connected to the bond pad (claim 1).

Ishikawa et al (JP 03-1516510 teaches a probe region and a bond pad region and the two part pad prevents damage to a wire bonding part (Abstract).

Buynoski (US 4,761,386) teaches a passivation layer (col 3, ln 5-25), probes contacting the bonding pads and bonding wire to the pads (col 4, ln 1-15).

Bell (US 6,429,675) teaches a first area for a bond pad and second area for a probe formed simultaneously (col 4, ln 10-30).

Art Unit: 1765

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew J Song whose telephone number is 571-272-1468. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on 571-272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Matthew J Song Examiner Art Unit 1765

MJS

NADINE G. NORTON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER